

I. GENERAL

A. Project Overview

First Submittal

- ☐ Proposed improvements and project limits are consistent with the scope and type of work
- ☐ Other projects in the same area have been noted
- ☐ Adjacent plats reviewed for subdivision regulations and commitments

Second Submittal

- ☐ Design exceptions requested and approved

PS&E Submittal

- ☐ Checked for multi-year contract and appropriate fact sheets created

B. Special Provisions

Draft PS & E Submittal

- ☐ Special provisions written for items not covered by the City of Lincoln Standard Specifications for Municipal Construction, any supplemental specifications, or previously approved special provisions.
- ☐ Special events during construction noted
- ☐ Status of Adjacent Projects analyzed
- ☐ Status of Utilities noted
- ☐ Status of ROW noted
- ☐ Status of Railroad noted
- ☐ City supplied traffic items listed

C. Special Plans

First Submittal

- ☐ Cost/Benefit Analysis to determine if guardrail needed
- ☐ Fence replacement with project? By contractor or property owner?

Second Submittal

- ☐ Special Plans drafted
- ☐ Lincoln Standard Plans reviewed
- ☐ Lincoln Specifications reviewed
- ☐ Lincoln Design Standards reviewed

D. PS & E

PS & E Submittal

- ☐ PS&E forms completed
- ☐ Verify all bid item #'s are referenced in Appia software
- ☐ Bid Form matches Summary of Quantities sheet

E. Permits / Agreements

Periodically throughout design, Project Managers should be looking at agreements that were in place prior to the beginning of the project to verify correct information

First Submittal

- ☐ “Project Permit Checklist” completed
- ☐ Begin coordination with the Railroad

Draft PS&E

- ☐ Permit applications have been submitted (update the city’s project database to include submittal date)
- ☐ Check for agreements with other local government agencies

PS&E Submittal

- ☐ Permits obtained (update city’s project database to include the permit approval date)

F. Geotechnical

Second Submittal

- ☐ Geotechnical Report reviewed to assure conformity with plans and specifications
- ☐ Unsuitable material identified
- ☐ Settlement time determined
- ☐ Compaction requirements determined
- ☐ Groundwater concerns identified

G. Cost Estimates

Level of Cost Estimating detail appropriate for project submittal

Cost Estimates shall be the total cost of the project. Items shall include, but not be limited to: utilities (private and public), right-of-way, construction, preliminary engineering, railroad, and construction engineering

First Submittal

- ☐ Major cost changes have been noted

Second Submittal

- ☐ Group Pay Items by discipline
 - a. Paving
 - b. Storm Sewer
 - c. Sanitary Sewer
 - d. Water Main
 - e. Erosion Control
 - f. Pavement Markings
 - g. Signing
 - h. Lighting and Traffic Signals
 - i. Miscellaneous
 - j. Bridge
 - k. Landscaping
- ☐ Estimates and Appia broken out into separate City funding sources (i.e. Water

- Department Funding)
- ___ Check that pay items in cost estimate match “Pay Items List”
- ___ Estimates checked against Summary of Quantities Sheet.
- ___ Submit cost estimates at each submittal or as directed by the Project Manager

II. ROADWAY

A. Typical Section

First Submittal

Items identified are:

- ___ Pavement type
- ___ Pavement depth
- ___ Pavement cross-slope
- ___ Over excavation
- ___ Subgrade
- ___ Lane width
- ___ Shoulder width / type
- ___ Curb type
- ___ Sidewalk width
- ___ Median surfacing & width

B. Geometrics

First Submittal

- ___ Alignment of lanes checked
- ___ Length of Turn Lanes conforms to C.O.L. standards
- ___ Bus turnouts analyzed
- ___ Geometrics designed for U-turns where applicable
- ___ Taper lengths in accordance with City of Lincoln Standards
- ___ Location of turn lanes identified
- ___ Check Geometrics using “Auto Turn” software

C. Horizontal Alignment

First Submittal

- ___ Superelevation Method Noted
- ___ Alignment checked by hand to determine if data is correct and not affected by rounding errors created in GEOPAK
- ___ Curve Radii conform to C.O.L. Standards

D. Vertical Alignment

First Submittal

- ___ Length of curve rounded to nearest 10’ increment (20’ preferable)
- ___ “K” Value meets more conservative of C.O.L. design standards or AASHTO Green Book
- ___ High point / low point location adjusted to minimize drainage concerns
- ___ Verify no low points in intersections

- ☐ Intersection and driveway sight distance calculations submitted
- ☐ Minimum and maximum allowable grades checked for all locations (including 3% platform at all intersections that could potentially be signalized)

E. Intersections / Driveways

First Submittal

- ☐ Surfacing material for driveways and intersections identified
- ☐ Access Control analyzed

Second Submittal

- ☐ Angle of intersection in conformance to C.O.L. Design Standards
- ☐ Appropriate curb return radii & tapers meet C.O.L. Standards
- ☐ Proposed entrances and exits align with other proposed or existing entrances and exits
- ☐ Proposed entrances and exits located to provide maximum separation from other drive approaches and intersections
- ☐ Left-turn lanes are offset for sight distance
- ☐ Intersection and driveway sight distance calculations submitted
- ☐ Locations of obstacles / hazards identified, including objects in sight distance triangle
- ☐ Driveway slope in conformance with C.O.L. Standards
- ☐ Driveway profile meets ADA regulations across sidewalk
- ☐ Adjacent sidewalks adjusted to proposed intersection / driveway elevation and meet ADA Guidelines

F. Earthwork

First Submittal

- ☐ Over excavation requirements analyzed

Second Submittal

- ☐ Earthwork quantities calculated
- ☐ Additional top soil requirements analyzed
- ☐ Compost requirements analyzed (if required then coordinate with City Landfill)

G. Cross Sections

Second Submittal

- ☐ Tie-in locations meet existing ground
- ☐ Positive Drainage achieved at tie-ins
- ☐ Retaining walls shown at correct locations
- ☐ Meet C.O.L. Standard tie-slopes

H. Cul-De-Sacs / Dead Ends

First Submittal

- ☐ Maximum length requirements are not exceeded

Second Submittal

- ☐ Conformity to Design Standards and LSP 662
- ☐ Turn around provisions met (LSP 620)
- ☐ Proper barricades and markers specified (LSP 164)

I. Construction / Removal

Second Submittal

- ☐ Salvaged materials identified (pipes, poles, fire hydrants, etc.)
- ☐ Pavement Removal for Utility Construction Included
- ☐ Pavement Replacement for Utility Construction Included
- ☐ Coordination completed with Rehab for pavement resurfacing
- ☐ Check trench depths in relation to construction limits
- ☐ Check limits of excavation for retaining walls in relation to construction limits

J. Joints / Grades

Second Submittal

- ☐ Grades Reflect proposed drainage patterns
- ☐ Check Turn lane taper elevation at curb line for positive drainage

K. Sidewalk & Trail Issues (maintain pedestrian access)

First Submittal

- ☐ Check Current Comp Plan for future bike trail locations
- ☐ Sidewalk width – 5 feet along arterials; Passing opportunities provided elsewhere
- ☐ Pedestrian connections have been identified

Second Submittal

- ☐ Temporary construction phase issues identified and addressed
- ☐ All sidewalk ramps in project limits meet ADA requirements
- ☐ Pedestrian write up for the sidewalk and trail committee is completed

III. TRAFFIC

A. Data

First Submittal

- ☐ Traffic data, projections, and vehicle turn movements (including u-turns) have been obtained and addressed
- ☐ Design is appropriate for ADT

B. Traffic Analysis

First Submittal

- ☐ Intersection Capacity Analysis completed
- ☐ All appropriate warrants analyzed (i.e. Signal, Turn-lane, Etc.)

C. Signals

Second Submittal

- ☐ Signalization times are included
- ☐ Traffic Engineering contacted
- ☐ Identify city supplied items

Draft PS&E

- ☐ Order city supplied items

D. Markings

Second Submittal

- ☐ Pavement markings conform to MUTCD Standards and LSP 79
- ☐ Parking issues that affect markings have been identified and addressed
- ☐ Crosswalk locations identified and any issues addressed
- ☐ Pavement markings consistent with existing markings on project ends
- ☐ Stop bar locations identified for bus / truck turning movements
- ☐ Include strip map

E. Signing

Second Submittal

- ☐ Signing items are included
- ☐ Sign sleeves are included

F. Lighting

Second Submittal

- ☐ Lighting items are included
- ☐ Coordination complete with Lincoln Electric System

IV. TRAFFIC CONTROL

A. Construction Phasing

First Submittal

- ☐ Include strip map
- ☐ General description of phasing and Initial Detour Route discussed with Construction Engineer and Traffic Ops

Second Submittal

- ☐ Provide and maintain access during construction
- ☐ Roadway and pedestrian traffic handling considerations are listed on the plans and if appropriate noted in Special Provisions
 - ☐ Businesses
 - ☐ Local traffic
 - ☐ School busses
 - ☐ Emergency vehicles
 - ☐ Postal delivery

- ☐ Peak hour lane closures and weekend closures have been addressed
- ☐ Temporary pavement markings have been addressed

B. Detours

Second Submittal

- ☐ Detour routes
 - ☐ Necessary improvements needed for intersections on detour route identified
 - ☐ Coordination done with other projects in the area
 - ☐ NDOR and/or County to review detour routes if route is on their system
 - ☐ Structures along detour route checked for Vertical and Horizontal clearances

C. Traffic Controls

Second Submittal

- ☐ Minimum MUTCD requirements and City of Lincoln Traffic Control Guidelines for Street Construction, Maintenance and Utility Operations have been reviewed
- ☐ Posted speed during construction

V. STORMWATER

Second Submittal

Pipe Systems:

- ☐ Storm Water drainage system provides required capacity and meets C.O.L. design Standards
- ☐ Drainage Study Data reviewed
 - ☐ Drainage area
 - ☐ Storm Frequencies used
 - ☐ Discharges determined (including pre and post development if applicable)
 - ☐ Check that necessary water surface elevations will not be increased
- ☐ Hydrologic and Hydraulic Computation requirements:
 - ☐ Appropriate rational method coefficients used
 - ☐ Overland flow computations completed
 - ☐ Street carrying capacity completed
 - ☐ Inlet computations completed
 - ☐ Placement of inlets appropriate both horizontally and vertically so that they collect drainage, are not on the high side of curves, appropriate number of inlets, canted or straight, etc.
 - ☐ Pipe size computations completed
 - ☐ Open channel computations completed
 - ☐ Outlet computations completed including appropriate erosion control
 - ☐ Analysis of downstream impacts below the outlet of the system
- ☐ Storm Water drainage profile requirements:
 - ☐ Minimum and maximum slope requirements satisfied
 - ☐ Minimum cover requirements satisfied
 - ☐ Minimum separation between storm sewer and other utilities satisfied
 - ☐ Any needed water looping accounted for in design and cost estimate

- _____ Hydraulic grade line checked
- _____ Profiles for trunk lines and lateral lines shown
- _____ Storm water drainage system coordinates with area Subdivision Studies.
- _____ Pipe taps into pipes > 30" if adjoining pipe is < 0.5 x pipe diameter checked
- _____ Account for sewer and water service lines(s) conflicts in design and estimate
- _____ Special ditches designed if necessary
- _____ Special structures or headwalls designed if necessary (check maximum depth of inlets and manholes)
- _____ Grate on flared end section (inlet side only)
- Bridges and Culverts:
 - _____ Storm Water drainage system provides required capacity and meets C.O.L. design Standards
 - _____ Drainage Study Data reviewed
 - _____ Drainage area
 - _____ Storm Frequencies used
 - _____ Discharges determined (including pre and post development if applicable)
 - _____ Check that necessary water surface elevations will not be increased
 - _____ Hydrologic and Hydraulic Computation requirements:
 - _____ Appropriate SCS curve numbers used
 - _____ Appropriate Time of Concentration used
 - _____ Flow acquired from a Watershed Master Plan
 - _____ HEC-RAS or HY8 model
 - _____ Appropriate roadway overtopping used
 - _____ Analysis of downstream impacts below the outlet of the system
 - _____ Special structures or headwalls designed if necessary

VI. SANITARY SEWER

First Submittal

- _____ Existing service lines researched – location available from TV Logs
- _____ Meeting with Wastewater to discuss possible system improvements, capacity, by-pass pumping plan, etc.

Second Submittal

- _____ Sanitary sewer sized appropriately (minimum size = 8") and designed to meet C.O.L. Standards
- _____ Hydraulic grade line checked
- _____ Manhole locations at intersections of sanitary sewer pipes, change in pipe diameter, when there is a significant change in slope or direction, and at maximum spacing of 600 feet
- _____ Flow line elevation difference between manhole inlet(s) and outlet checked
- _____ Drop manhole(s) used when invert elevation differences are greater than 2.5 feet
- _____ Services shown to each platted lot (table of information)
 - _____ Location of wye on sanitary sewer checked
 - _____ Flow line of main sewer at wye checked
 - _____ Flow line of service at wye checked (1 foot above flow line of main sewer)
 - _____ Flow line of service at lot line checked
 - _____ Length of service checked
 - _____ Location of service at lot line shown (dimension from lot line if not centered in lot)

- ___ Sanitary Sewer Profile requirements:
 - ___ Minimum slopes and maximum slopes requirements checked
 - ___ Minimum cover requirements; (maximum depth = 15 feet)
 - ___ Minimum separation between sewer line and other utilities

VII. WATER MAIN – PENDING, NOT READY FOR WEB

First Submittal

- ___ Valve locations and spacing meet Water Department Guidelines and Design Standards
- ___ Check that Multi-lane roadways have hydrants on both sides
- ___ Service lines researched

Second Submittal

- ___ Hydrant locations and spacing (maximum 420 feet)
 - ___ Have been reviewed by the Water Department
 - ___ Have been reviewed by the Fire Department
- ___ Water line profile shown
- ___ Only one extension per hydrant
- ___ Maximum change in grade without bend checked
- ___ Minimum cover requirements checked (5 feet)
- ___ Minimum separation between water line and other utilities checked
- ___ Check curb line elevation and hydrant length shown (5.5 feet or 6.5 feet from flange to elbow)
- ___ Check that hydrant locations are not in conflict with existing sidewalks, future sidewalks, or other structures
- ___ All conflicting utilities have been studied and resolution documented

VIII. EROSION CONTROL

Second Submittal

- ___ Appropriate erosion control design requirements:
 - ___ Rip Rap
 - ___ Seeding (temporary and permanent)
 - ___ Erosion control mat
 - ___ Channel bank stabilization material
 - ___ Silt fence
 - ___ Mulching
 - ___ Inlet protection
 - ___ Construction entrance
 - ___ Other

IX. RIGHT-OF-WAY

First Submittal

- ___ Retaining wall locations identified (city property or private property)

Second Submittal

- ___ Right-of-Way, Temporary Easements and Permanent Easements acquired and recorded
 - ___ Easements must be shown around sump inlets ponding on private property and sump inlet ponding calculations must be provided
 - ___ Easements must be provided around open drainage ways and computations supporting the drainage way must be provided

X. LANDSCAPING

Second Submittal

- ___ Coordination with Parks and Recreation Department and Water Department
- ___ Irrigation systems addressed

XI. BRIDGES

First Submittal

- ___ Structures checked for vertical and horizontal clearance
- ___ Coordination with private and public Utilities to determine if utilities, including lighting, will be on bridge
- ___ Check to see if pedestrian or bike trail needs to be incorporated into bridge design
- ___ MSE walls or fill slope comparison completed
- ___ Type, size and location (TS&L)

Second Submittal

- ___ Guardrail designed
- ___ Approach slab designed
- ___ Hydraulic Impact Study submitted
- ___ Fencing shown
- ___ Impact attenuators designed

XII. UTILITY ISSUES

First Submittal

- ___ Utility conflicts identified (early coordination is required – send a copy of 1st submittal to all utilities, with a copy of cover letter to be placed in the file)

Second Submittal

- ___ All conflicting utilities have been studied and resolutions documented
- ___ Affected utilities are notified
- ___ Plans sent to Utilities with a copy of cover letter to be placed in the file

Draft PS&E

- ___ Plans sent to Utilities with a copy of cover letter to be placed in the file

PS&E Submittal

- ___ Plans sent to Utilities with a copy of cover letter to be placed in the file

XIII. MISCELLANEOUS

A. Environmental

Check to see if Environmental impact statement or Environmental assessment is needed

First Submittal

- ☐ Endangered Species identified
- ☐ Endangered Plants identified
- ☐ Borrow pit – exposed groundwater?
- ☐ Hazardous Waste materials identified
- ☐ Historical Sites / Structures / Section 4f areas identified
- ☐ Coordinate with State Historical Preservation Organization and with City Historian
- ☐ Air Quality Study completed (required for ADT > 100,000 in design year)
- ☐ Noise Study completed
- ☐ Verify plans include known environmental commitments
- ☐ Coordinate final plans with “Project Permit Checklist”
- ☐ Miscellaneous removal items included:
 - ☐ Houses, Garages, Sheds
 - ☐ Septic Tanks
 - ☐ Pumps / pump islands
 - ☐ Gas tanks
 - ☐ Well abandonment
 - ☐ Asbestos
- ☐ Wetlands identified
- ☐ Groundwater contamination requirements checked and met
- ☐ Contaminated soil requirements checked and met